

# ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

# **Suitability of Different Materials as Human Tissue Simulating Materials in Co-60 Radiation Energy Beam**

Ogunsina Sulaiman Ayoade<sup>1\* D</sup>, Fatai Akintunde Balogun<sup>2 D</sup>, Aminu Saidu<sup>1 D</sup>,

Olaniyan Tajudeen Ayinde<sup>3</sup><sup>10</sup>, Umakha Mark<sup>[4](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7336-6124)10</sup> and Sule Abdulmumini<sup>510</sup>[.](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3160-6403)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Faculty of Physical Science and Computing, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup>Centre for Energy Research and Development, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics and Engineering Physics, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

<sup>4</sup>Medical Physics Unit, Department of Radiation of Oncology, University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria.

<sup>5</sup>Medical Physics unit, Department of Radiation Oncology, University College Hospital, Ibadan.

### **ABSTRACT**

Radiotherapy calibration for precise dose delivery traditionally relies on water phantom systems, but this approach poses challenges of heterogeneous corrections or the use of anthropomorphic phantoms which most underdeveloped nations find expensive. In this study, the suitability of some tissue simulating materials were investigated within the Co-60 photons energy range. This study explores the identification of locally sourced materials to simulate human tissue behavior in Co-60 energy beams. By considering effective atomic numbers and physical densities, we evaluate materials for simulating soft tissue, lung, and bone. Water, aluminum metal, and polystyrene (Styrofoam) were selected for simulating soft tissue, bone, and lung, respectively, as they have values  $7.96$  and  $1.00$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>;  $13.00$  and  $2.6989$  $g/cm<sup>3</sup>$ ; and 6.01 and 0.016  $g/cm<sup>3</sup>$  respectively for their effective atomic numbers and densites. This research provides valuable insights for resource-constrained regions aiming to improve radiotherapy calibration methods.

### **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received September 30, 2023. Accepted November 27, 2023. Published December 30, 2023.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Radiotherapy, Phantoms, Effective Atomic Number, Co-60



© The authors. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0)

### **INTRODUCTION**

Traditionally, radiotherapy machines are calibrated to ensure accurate dose delivery using water phantom systems. In the case of external beam radiation therapy (EBRT), the calibration is carried out in the beam with the same photon and electron energy range as to be used clinically. The use of water phantom is only sustained by developing and underdeveloped nations of the world as most developed nations have since moved to having scenario-based calibration where they employ different inhomogeneity correction factors for different organs under treatment or use of anthropomorphic phantom [\(AAPM, 2004\)](#page-4-0). These anthropomorphic phantoms are expensive and not within reach of these underprivileged nations; thus, the need to identify materials that can be sourced locally and effectively simulate the behaviour of some tissues of the human body in a Co-60 energy beam.

Phantoms are designed principally to simulate the radiological properties of various body tissues. Appropriate materials are critical to "the design and function of any type of phantom". The design and composition of any phantom will be determined by the

purpose it is designed to serve. Any phantom designed to evaluate dose delivery in radiotherapy will undoubtedly differ from the one designed for imaging systems. The purpose of any phantom determines its physical design, composition, and whether the phantom will have ports for accommodating dosimeters [\(DeWerd and Lawless, 2014\)](#page-4-1).

The properties of the simulating materials vary with the energy of the incident radiation, with some being appropriate over a given energy range and inappropriate at others. Thus, a phantom designed for use in a kilovoltage energy range will be made of different materials from a phantom made for megavoltage usage.

Water, wax, and wood were among the early materials for human tissue simulation with fairly simple geometry: water tanks for water and blocks for wood and wax. While water is still in common use to date, wax and wood present a number of problems. They deviate from tissue equivalency at low energies, and their compositions differ significantly depending on the type used, and this consequently brought about inconsistency in the early

**Correspondence:** Ogunsina Sulaiman Ayoade. Department of Physics, Faculty of Physical and Computing Sciences, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto. ⊠ [sulaiman.ogunsina@udusok.edu.ng.](mailto:sulaiman.ogunsina@udusok.edu.ng) Phone Number: +234 703 059 4187. **How to cite**: Ogunsina, S. A., Balogun, F. A., Saidu, A., Olaniyan, T. A., Umakha, `M, & Sule, A. (2023). Suitability of Different Materials as Human Tissue Simulating Materials in Co-60 Radiation Energy Beam. *UMYU Scientifica*, 2(4), 145 – 149.<https://doi.org/10.56919/usci.2324.018>

measurements [\(DeWerd and Lawless, 2014;](#page-4-1) Frigo, 2014). According to Frigo (2014), water is still in common use because it is a major constituent of human tissue and is suitable for calibration standards. Water has a uniform composition and is also readily available.

Several other materials have, however, been reportedly used by several other authors [\(Blomquist and Karlsson,](#page-4-2)  [1998;](#page-4-2) [da Rosa](#page-4-3) *et al.*, 2010; [Jeong](#page-4-4) *et al.*, 2011; [Senthilkumar](#page-4-5)  [and Ramakrishnan, 2011;](#page-4-5) [Senthilkumar, 2014\)](#page-4-6) for the various body tissue simulation. The basic properties considered in virtually all of them are the materials' physical density ( $\rho$ ), electron density ( $\rho$ <sub>e</sub>), and effective atomic number  $(z_{\text{eff}})$ , which is a combination of the materials' percentage elemental composition and their electron densities.

Jeong *et al.* [\(2011\)](#page-4-4) used equations 1-2 to calculate the effective atomic number (zeff) of the following materials for various tissue simulations: Polystyrene, polyethylene, polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), and polyurethane foam (PU-F).

$$
Effective atomic number (Z_{eff}) = A_{eff} \left[ \sum w_i \frac{z_i}{A_i} \right] \qquad (1)
$$

Where:

 $w_i$  is the atomic weight fraction of the ith element;  $Z_i$  is the atomic number of the ith element;  $A_i$  is the atomic mass number of the ith element; and  $A_{eff}$  is the effective atomic mass number of the material as a whole.

$$
A_{eff} = \sum_{i} w_i A_i \tag{2}
$$

The materials identified above by [Jeong](#page-4-4) *et al.* (2011) were used to simulate muscle, fat, bone, and lung tissue, respectively, as illustrated in [Table 1.](#page-1-0)

[Senthilkumar \(2014\)](#page-4-6) designed a heterogeneous human equivalent thorax phantom using bee's wax as the softtissue simulating material, with cork and Teflon as lung and spine-simulating materials, respectively. Those materials have densities of 0.99, 0.2, and 2  $g/cm^3$ respectively. The main aim of the study was to design a low-cost homogeneous and heterogeneous thorax simulating phantom for tissue inhomogeneity dose correction as well as assess the dose accuracy of Treatment Planning Systems (TPS) calculated values for different lung treatment dosimetry using Thermoluminescent Dosimeter (TLD) measurement.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>



#### **METHODOLOGY**

### **Selection of Tissue Simulating Materials**

The effectiveness of materials in simulating human tissues in photon beams was based on the material's effective atomic number and physical densities [\(DeWerd and](#page-4-1)  [Lawles, 2014\)](#page-4-1). Phantom materials were selected for the following body tissues: Soft tissue, Lungs, and Bone (rib bone and the spine).

a. Effective Atomic Number  $Z_{eff}$ 

The effective atomic numbers  $(Z_{eff})$  of the materials were calculated using equations 1 and 2. The elemental

compositions of the body tissues and their simulating materials were obtained from the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) online database and presented in [Tables 2,](#page-2-0) [3,](#page-2-1) [4](#page-2-2) and [5.](#page-3-0)

b. Materials Physical Density (ρ)

Also investigated were the tissues simulating materials' physical densities, ρ, the majority of which were also obtained from the NIST online database. The rest were calculated using Equation 3.

$$
\rho = \frac{\text{mass of material}}{\text{volume of the material}}
$$
 3



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 2: Elemental Composition of Soft Tissue**

# <span id="page-2-1"></span>**Table 3: Elemental Composition of Lung**



\* Sourced from [Aarup et al. \(2009\)](#page-4-7)

# <span id="page-2-2"></span>**Table 4: Elemental Composition of Bone**



### <span id="page-3-0"></span>UMYU Scientifica, Vol. 2 NO. 4, December 2023, Pp 145 – 149 **Table 5: Radiological Properties of Tissue Simulating Materials**



### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The computed values for  $Z_{eff}$  and  $\rho$  for the selected materials, alongside the corresponding values for actual human tissues, are presented in [Table 6.](#page-3-1) These values provide the needed insights into the materials' ability to simulate the radiological properties of soft tissue, lung, and bone (rib and spine).

<span id="page-3-1"></span>



\* Sourced from [Aarup et al. \(2009\)](#page-4-7)

**Material Selection for Tissue Simulation**

Based on the results, we made informed choices for materials suitable for simulating specific human tissues in radiotherapy phantoms:

Soft Tissue Simulation: Water was chosen as the preferred material for simulating soft tissue. Its  $Z_{eff}$  and  $\varrho$  values were found to be in close alignment with those of actual soft tissue, indicating its ability to accurately mimic soft tissue behavior within the specified energy range. Moreover, the availability and cost-effectiveness of water make it an attractive option for this purpose.

Lung Tissue Simulation: Styrofoam (Polystyrene) was selected for simulating lung tissue, despite some density variations compared to actual lung tissue. This choice considers the extremes of lung density corresponding to deep inspiration and deep expiration, as identified by [Aarup et al. \(2009\).](#page-4-7) Styrofoam's  $Z_{eff}$  value aligns reasonably well with that of lung tissue, making it a practical and cost-effective choice for lung tissue simulation.

Bone (Rib and Spine) Simulation: Aluminum metal was chosen as the material of choice for simulating bone tissues, both rib and spine. It demonstrated a  $Z_{eff}$  Value that closely resembled the radiological properties of bone, thus providing an effective means of replicating bone behavior under the Co-60 radiation beam.

### **Practical Considerations**

In addition to the aforementioned radiological properties, practical considerations played a pivotal role in our material selection. Factors such as local availability and cost-effectiveness were crucial, especially for resourceconstrained settings. Water's status as a readily available and budget-friendly material made it a compelling option for soft tissue simulation.

While our choices for simulating lung and bone tissues may exhibit slight density variations compared to actual tissues, these variations are within acceptable limits. Furthermore, considering the extreme cases of lung density during different respiratory phases, Styrofoam (Polystyrene) is considered a suitable material for lung tissue simulation.

### **CONCLUSION**

Our study underscores the importance of carefully selecting materials for simulating human tissues in radiotherapy phantoms. The materials chosen must replicate the radiological properties accurately and be practical in terms of availability and cost. Water, Styrofoam (Polystyrene), and aluminum metal have emerged as viable options for simulating soft tissue, lung, and bone under the specified energy range. These findings contribute to the ongoing efforts to improve the precision and accessibility of radiotherapy calibration methods, particularly in regions with limited resources. Further research and refinement of material selection criteria will continue to advance the field of radiotherapy calibration.

## UMYU Scientifica, Vol. 2 NO. 4, December 2023, Pp 145 – 149

### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>AAPM - American Association of Physicists in Medicine (2004). Tissue Inhomogeneity Corrections for Megavoltage Photon Beams, AAPM REPORT NO. 85 Medical Physics Publishing.
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>Aarup, L. R., Nahum A. E., Zacharatou C., Juhler-Nøttrup, T., Knöös, T., Nyström, H., Specht, L., Wieslander, E. and Korreman, S. S. (2009). The effect of different lung densities on the accuracy of various radiotherapy dose calculation methods: Implications for tumour coverage. Radiotherapy and Oncology 91(3): 405–414. **[\[Crossref\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2009.01.008)**
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>Blomquist, M. and Karlsson, M. (1998). Measured lung dose correction factors for 50 MV photons. Journal of Physics in Medicine and Biology. 43(11). **[\[Crossref\]](https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/43/11/005)**
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>da Rosa, L. A. R., Cardoso, S. C., Campos, L. T., Alves, V. G. L., Batista, D. V. S. and Facure, A. (2010). Percentage depth dose evaluation in heterogeneous media using thermoluminescent dosimetry. Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics. 11(1): 117-127. **[\[Crossref\]](https://doi.org/10.1120/jacmp.v11i1.2947)**
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>DeWerd, L. A. and Lawless, M. (2014). Introduction to phantoms of medical and health physics. In: DeWerd, L. A. and Lawless, M.: The Phantoms of Medical and Health Physics, Devices for Research and Development. New York: Springer. 1-14. **[\[Crossref\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-8304-5)**
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>Jeong, H. S., Han, Y., Kum, O., Kim, C. H. and Park, J. H. (2011). Development and evaluation of a phantom for multi-purpose dosimetry in Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy. Nuclear Engineering and Technology. 43(4): 399 – 404. **[\[Crossref\]](https://doi.org/10.5516/NET.2011.43.4.399)**
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>Senthilkumar, S. (2014). Design of homogeneous and heterogeneous human equivalent thorax phantom for tissue inhomogeneity dose correction using TLD and TPS measurements. International Journal of Radiation Research. 12(2): 179-188
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>Senthilkumar, S. and Ramakrishnan, V. (2011). Fabrication of low cost slab homogeneous and heterogeneous phantoms for lung radiation treatment. Iranian Journal of Radiation Research. 9(2): 109-119.